Augmenting Medical Databases with Domain Knowledge

John M- Aronis Bruce G- Buchanan and Seok Won Lee Intelligent Systems Laboratory University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Intelligent Systems Laboratory Report No- ISL

In AAAI Spring Symposium on Artificial Intelligence in Medicine stand University Marchael at all, and the

1. Introduction.

In this paper we discuss a method of linking databases with domain knowledge to provide an extended semantics for use with statistical matrix \mathcal{W} for the automated discovery programsuse of data in conjunction with domain knowledge for automated discovery in medical databases, and show how an induction program can find new knowledge in a database by reasoning about classes and relationships that are implicit in the original data, but explicit in the representation of domain knowledge.

Programs for automated, inductive discovery have been shown to be effective in discovering patterns from data- Some discoveries have been made that are important enough to be published in the discoveries of the scientic subject domain - Although induction programs by themselves can make interesting discoveries we focus here on removing the severe restriction that a learning program always works within a small, fixed, semantic bias- We illustrate these points in the domain of plant exposures with the RL program extended and applied to a large, multi-year database of toxic and non-toxic plant exposures.

The present work is far from complete; however, it shows how knowledge bases and databases codified for other purposes can introduce an open-endedness to the bias within which an induction program operates. Our long-term view is to maintain access, perhaps over the internet, to large stores of background knowledge relevant to a given domain of inquiry- I ar goal is that this probability fact the data for the data. for different induction problems to extend the semantic bias of the discovery program.

$2.$ The Domain-

We are working to discover patterns in a large set of data recorded from calls to poison centers- The data we are focusing on, drawn from the American Association of Toxic Control Centers Toxic Exposure Surveillance System (AAPCC TESS), describe incidents of potentially toxic exposures of people to plants most frequently incidents of children eating parts of plants- the database contains about one million such records collected by poison control centers across the U-S- over the last years- Because it is the policy of poison centers to record follow-up information we have a record of symptoms, recommended actions, actual actions, which continues, we as demographic information- words, the time poison centers are able to gather sufficient information about the plants to identify with high confidence the genus and species.

Of primary importance are patterns that indicate when a victim should be sent to a hospital emergency room and when it is safe to recommend waiting- However as we point out there are other interesting discoveries to me made with these data, especially when linked to botanical, geographical, and climatic knowledge bases.

$3₁$ The Need for Background Knowledge.

The representation most commonly used by statistical, inductive learning, and discovery systems to describe problems is the simple feature vector- However scientic domain knowledge takes on a richer more structured form- Prominent in any scientists store of useful background knowledge are various taxonomies categories and relationships between concepts- To illustrate this consider the following two scenarios-

A sequence of potentially poisonous plant exposure cases may have related substances: one person ate Toxicodendron radicans another Toxicodendron diversilobum and another Toxicodendron vernix- Knowing the genus-species relationship in botanical naming, one would naturally say that these are all Toxicodendron exposures- This is important since the symptoms and treatment for exposure to the various species in the Toxicodendron genus are similar- More importantly a scientist or program that knows the botanical taxonomy would see the commonality even if other less obvious names were used- Now suppose one is presented with exposures from various species in the Araceae family and also from Rheum rhabarbarum common rhubarb- Given that the irritant calcium oxalate is present throughout the Araceae family and in the leaves of the R- rhabarbarum species one might reason about common treatments for exposure to these plants jointly based on their common toxin-

These examples show the importance of using domain knowledge to describe and explain sets of items-In the rst example a naturally occuring class was used- In the second we considered a common feature of items in a set- These forms of reasoning are particularly important in epidemiological problems where occurrences and distributions are analyzed in terms of commonalities introduced by demographic, geographic, and other relational factors.

$4₋$ Augmenting Databases with Inheritance Networks-

To automate the forms of reasoning illustrated above we need to represent individual data items as well as their relationships to general concepts- we can do this in a uniform way using interest which was an provide an efficient way to navigate and explore the space of relationships among data and concepts.

Figure 1: Linking Data to Botanical Knowledge.

Figure illustrates how several exposure cases can be linked to part of a knowledge base of plants- Only a few feed for and their links to a small part of the botanical knowledge base are shown-field knowledge base are ISA links which can be interpreted as set inclusion- which the link Thus Thus Thus The Monday. that every plant in the species T. radicans is also in the genus Toxicodendron. The *role link* Araceae \longrightarrow

Calcium oxalate means that plants in the Araceae family contain calcium oxalate- Since calcium oxalate is present throughout the Araceae family we put the link at the family level, and let lower nodes inherit it. calcium oxalate is specific to R- contains link in that its family μ is the contains link is put directly the that species' node.

For both automated discovery systems and database systems the ability to scale up is very important- At this point two aspects of the representation that facilities scaling are apparents facilitates networks. are a compact representation- wanded across similar to act any process similar data items- access, ...

these predicates can be evaluated very quickly using marker propagations- inglessional algorithmssince an inductive learning algorithm will evaluate many candidate predicates in its search- To nd which exposures involved calcium oxalate, the program marks the node Calcium oxalate, then propagates markers down sequences of ISA links and the roles contains and substance- The nal markers will be attached to the extension of the predicatein this case the nodes Exposure- Exposure- and Exposure-- Special care must be taken if the network allows nonmonotonic links corresponding to exceptional cases, but the basic idea is the same.

-Automatic Discovery Using Domain Knowledge-

We now have the machinery to describe the Knowledge-Based Rule Learner (KBRL) with an example. consider the network in Figure 2, and influence to 2 decade included the shown consideration are shown that th of geographical knowledge with location shares in the distributions in the geographic case are in the geographic turn, connected to a database of temperature zones with zone are also angener, is the diagramconnected to several smaller knowledge bases including one for times and dates showing the relationships of dates months and seasons- Datura exposures normally occur in August October here we are interested in characterizing an anomalous subset of toxic exposures that occur in May- Thus we direct the system to search for a predicate that is true of exactly exposures 2-4.

Figure 2: Characterizing May Datura Exposures.

The RL algorithm is a top-down (general-to-specific) inductive learning algorithm, so the system starts with general predicates and attempts to specialize them- case is the system denes criteria with with the system will judge a discovery to be interesting. For this example, the discovery criteria and an interesting pattern is one that covers all of the May exposures and none of the other exposures- Of course, and course, a pattern characterizing a concept is seldom this easy-columnate is to be evaluated to be statistically and the concept will usually be covered only partially or covered by a disjunction of predicates- The system starts with the general predicate:

$location(x) = US$

This is specialized to

 $location(x) = Southwest$

The new rule still does not capture the concept, so an additional conjunct is added:

location is a southwest of the southwest in the southwest in the southwest of the southwest in the southwest o

This is further specialized to become

 $location(x) = Southwest & zone(location(x)) = Hot$

This rule is accepted since it covers the data items in the concept- In practice rules are evaluated statistically and the system may have to learn several rules to adequately cover a concept-

the name constant function important in two respectives to respect the classes mentioned in the constant of th knowledge base individual cases are covered at once- Thus exposures are all found to be in hot regions although in the database they are listed as occurring in separate locations- second the phrased in \sim underlying, fundamental terms, rather than specific surface features.

6. Advantages of Our Representation of Background Knowledge-

Scientific discovery involves finding regularities and generalizations that are potentially interesting, often expressed in terms of known categories and relationships- We may not know a priori which categories or relationships are needed, so the knowledge base covering information that is possibly relevant to the domain may be quite large.

The use of background knowledge consisting of categories and relations has two complementary functions adding to the expressive power and efficiency of any system that accesses them:

Categories and relations enable inductive generalization based on more complex reasoning than simple pattern matching of features- If all or most of the items we have seen thus far in a category have a certain property, we can induce that the other items in that category also have that property.

Categories and relations focus exploration- Linking data to domain knowledge provides a strong bias on the set of predicates-best of predicates-best are highermore than \mathbf{u} top-down search strategy.

Scientific knowledge is often based on taxonomic relationships, with inheritable properties linked to classes- Inheritance networks are a natural intuitive method for representing these forms of knowledge-They are easily specified and easily understood.

In standard feature-vector learners the semantic bias only includes features specifically associated with the items- iteration in poisoning incident may contain the age, substance the substance etc-sex location substa there is no natural way to include information about the location substance its constituents etc- For such learners, the only option is to flatten out the knowledge base by creating a new feature for each possible predicate that might be used to describe an example.

In addition to general categorical and relational information, scientific background knowledge often contains exceptional cases requiring the ability to represent and reason with nonmonotonic information- The ability to reason nonmolectromically also facilitates the use of particular data bases-play a particular addatabase may have individual properties species so a very next granularity e-very new code at the individual level but may cover only a portion of the data- The use of defaults allows areas not covered at such a ne grammatic to indicate properties in the coarser grammatic entities entitles entitles even and the state μ

Since our objective is to provide a context of domain knowledge for a pattern-discovery engine, it is essential that we can represent compactly a very large amount of background knowledge, and reason with it eciently- This is necessary because it is impossible to know exactly what will be relevant to the next discovery; assuming that one will be able to specify a small amount of background knowledge that will be sucient begs the very question of discovery- A related advantage of using inheritance networks to represent background knowledge is that they are economic to search-community that the community against a combination database and knowledge base using fast marker-propagation algorithms.

-Applications in Botanical Toxicology-

The American Association of Toxic Control Centers Toxic Exposure Surveillance System (AAPCC TESS) database lists exposures in terms of species potential patterns discovered with this data we connected the AAPCC TESS data to a knowledge base of geographic areas and their climates- We also used a knowledge base of botanical species genera and families-

The AAPCC TESS database has been used with these additional knowledge structures for our work with collaborators in this collaboration is statistically and the this work is statistical analysis that is an analysis facilited by the additional categories- We have also used both the RL and KBRL learning system with this data/knowledge base to characterize Datura poisonings in terms of basic environmental factors $[1, 3]$, and explore the treatment of certain classes of poisonings $[7]$.

8. Acknowledgements-

This research was supported by National Science \mathbf{N} Health grant P RR administered through the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center and the W-M- Keck Foundation- We also thank our collaborators Edward P- Krenzelok Director of the Pittsburgh Poison Center and Terry D- Jacobsen of the Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation-

References

- aronis J-Aronis F-Aronis F-And B-Aronis F-Aronis F-Aronis F-Aronis F-Aronis F-Aronis J-Aronis F-Aronis F-Aroni Background Knowledge in Automated Discovery- Submitted to Articial Intel ligence Journal-
- Clearwater S- and Provost F-J- - RL A Tool for Knowledge Based Induction- In Proceedings of the Second International IEEE Conference on Tools for Articial International International International Press.
- Krenzelok E-P- Jacobsen T-D- and Aronis J-M- - Jimsonweed Datura stramonium Poisoning and Abuse - - -An Analysis of Cases- In Proceedings of North American Congress of Clinical Toxicology in the september of the septemb
- rences and Aronis J-Aronis J-Profile of the Most Common Species. In Proceedings of North American Congress of Clinical Toxicology Rochester NY September -
- Krenzelok E-P- Jacobsen T-D- and Aronis J-M- - Botanical Scoundrels and Emergency Depart ment Visits, In Proceedings of North American Congress of Clinical Toxicology 1995, Rochester NY, September 2008 - Septembe
- , and aronis I-called C-recording and and aronis J-called C-recording and also called the C-recording and Proceedings of North American Congress of Clinical Toxicology Rochester NY September -
- Krenzelok E-P- F-J- Provost Jacobsen T-D- Aronis J-M- and Buchanan B-G- - Assessing Patient Referral Patterns to a Health Care Facility in Plant Exposure Patients Using Computer Artificial Intelligence- In European Association of Poisons Centers and Clinical Toxicologists Scientic Meetingthe contract of the contract of
- Krenzelok E-P- F-J- Provost Jacobsen T-D- Aronis J-M- and Buchanan B-G- - Poinsettia Euphorbia pulcherrima Exposures Have Good Outcomes - - - Just As We Thought- In European As sociation of Poisons Centers and Clinical Toxicologists Scientic Meeting- Krakow Poland May
- Valdes Perez R- - Some Recent Human Computer Discoveries in Science and What Accounts for Them- AI Magazine pp- -